

United States Patent and Trademark Office

jile

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,996	07/13/2001		Fredrik Liden	50364-04USPX	2696	
27045	7590	02/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ERICSSON			SEDIGHIAN, REZA			
6300 LEGA M/S EVR C		E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PLANO, T	X 75024			2633		
				DATE MAILED: 02/14/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			oplication No.	Applicant(s)				
			9/762,996	LIDEN ET AL.				
			aminer	Art Unit				
			R. Sedighian	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions of the first of the committed from the mailing date of this committed for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 riod for reply is specified above, the maximum state of reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months at leatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication. days, a reply withit utory period will ap will, by statute, caus	In no event, however, may a reply be timin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from se the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>13 July 2</u>	<u>2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ⊤l	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)☐ Cl 6)☒ Cl 7)☐ Cl 8)☐ Cl	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	ı Papers							
10)⊠ Th Ar Re	e specification is objected to by the e drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2001 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including e oath or declaration is objected to	s/are: a)⊠ a tion to the draw the correction i	ving(s) be held in abeyance. See s required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pi ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		_					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/2001</u> . 6) Other:								

Art Unit: 2633

1. Reference numeral "60" is not shown in fig. 2.

Correction is required for further informalities.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Specification does not clearly describe about a channel center value MCDn of the parameter of wavelength selective element at which the output power is maximum. Specification describes (Page 11, lines 24-26) output power level of each channel is measured and compared with initial power level to determine whether it has dropped by 0.5 dB. Furthermore, specification describes (Page 10, lines 28-36) about calculation of an initial variance IVn for each channel, wherein the initial variance value IVn for each channel is obtained using procedure A shown in fig. 4, and which is IVn or MCDn = (DHn+DLn)/2. Specification further describes (Page 13, lines 25-28) about a step 503 (for channel i = 1 to n compare IVn with MCDn) in which for each channel the initial variance IVn is compared with the determined mean value MCDn. It is not clear how the value IVn is compared with MCDn in step 503, wherein at step 409, IVn or MCDn are equal or of the same value.

Art Unit: 2633

4

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 9, 16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 9, it recites the limitation "the optical transmission sources" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

As to claim 16, it recites the limitation "said regulator" in line 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

As to claim 20, it recites the limitation "said at least one control signal" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota Oichi (Patent Abstracts of Japan 09-093223).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, as it is understood in view of the above 112 problem, Kubota Oichi teaches a method for controlling (16, fig. 5) the wavelength of channels (λ 1, λ 2) launched by optical transmission means (17, fig. 5) and received by at least one wavelength selective element (18, fig. 5) in an optical WDM link (see abstract), the method including: noting a

Art Unit: 2633

L

starting value (Vup, see abstract) of a wavelength influencing parameter of the wavelength selective element (18, 20, fig. 5), for each channel determining a channel center value (note that a mean voltage between VUP and VDOWN is calculated, and Vfl1, Vfl2) of the parameter of the wavelength selective element at which the output power of the channel is a maximum (see abstract), utilizing the channel center values (Vf11, Vf12) to determine a deviation between the launched wavelengths and wavelengths selected by the wavelength selective element (see abstract) to correct the wavelength deviation at wavelength selective element (18, 20, fig. 5). As to claim 16, Kubota Oichi further teaches a wavelength selective element (18, fig. 5) to receive a combined signal ($\lambda 1$, $\lambda 2$) and to separate the optical channels (see claim 1), monitoring means (21, 22, fig. 5) for detecting optical signals output from the wavelength selective element (18, fig. 5), means for regulating (20, fig. 5) a wavelength influencing parameter associated with the wavelength selective element (18, fig. 5), control means (16, fig. 5) arranged to communicate with a regulator (20, fig. 5) adapted to determine a parameter value (Vf1, Vf2) for each channel $(\lambda 1, \lambda 2)$ at which the output power is maximum and to determine a wavelength drift on the basis of the parameter values (see abstract) and to generate a control signal (20, fig. 5) for rectifying wavelength.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. R. Sedighian whose telephone number is (571) 272-3034. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (from 9 AM to 5 PM).

Art Unit: 2633

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. R. SEDIGHIAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

m. N. Sedishian